



Cervical Cancer Screening

Compiled by: Dr Allison Glass

1st Quarter 2022

Cervical cancer is caused by chronic infection with one of 14 high-risk human papillomaviruses (HR-HPV). The risk-factor for development of cervical cancer is thus clearly defined. Most infected women will clear HR-HPV through natural immunity (usually within 2 years of acquisition), but a proportion ($\pm 10\%$) will have infection that persists, resulting in cellular changes that may progress from pre-malignant dysplasia to high-grade dysplasia (CIN2, CIN3), and eventually to invasive cervical carcinoma. The timeline from exposure to the development of invasive carcinoma in the persistently infected, undetected woman is 10 – 15 years, though this may be shortened by concurrent HIV infection.

Why women should be encouraged to screen for Cervical Cancer risk?

South Africa has a population of **21.3 million women** over the age of 15 years who are at risk of developing cervical cancer. Sexually transmitted infection with HPV is very common, with most women being exposed to HPV at some point in their lifetime. It is estimated that every year **10 702 South African women are diagnosed** with cervical cancer and **5 870 die** from this preventable disease. It is the **second most frequent cancer amongst South African woman and the most frequent in women aged 15 – 44 years**. HPV-16 and -18 are responsible for 64.2% of invasive cervical cancer cases. Approximately 7.9% of women are estimated to be infected with HPV-16 and -18 at any given time. HPV-45 is the third most common type associated with cervical cancer.

In addition, South Africa has a very high prevalence of HIV infection, a known risk factor for the development of cervical cancer. It is estimated that 25.8% of South African females 15 – 49 years old are infected with HIV.

With appropriate screening, **cervical cancer can be prevented**, by detecting cancer risk and pre-cancerous lesions, **or cured**, by detecting cancer early and managing appropriately. Cervical cancer is one of the only cancers that can be prevented through **immunisation** prior to HR-HPV exposure, or **appropriate screening** with readily available tests and minimally invasive procedures.

What is the most appropriate strategy for cervical cancer screening?

A screening strategy should focus on **detecting** women who are at risk for cervical cancer, i.e. have a persistent infection with HR-HPV, and appropriately **staging** her along the continuum of disease progression by means of cytology in order to select appropriate management.

Screening with cytology (Pap smear) does significantly reduce the incidence of cervical cancer, but it has a number of limitations:

- It is subjective.
- It has relatively low sensitivity for high-grade dysplasia and invasive cancer (\geq CIN2). In the ATHENA study, 1/3 of women who developed cancer had a normal Pap smear.
- It is associated with complex diagnostic categories and management algorithms.
- It identifies women who already have dysplasia rather than identifying those at greatest risk for developing high-grade dysplasia **before** it develops.
- HPV-18 and -45 are more common in cases of adenocarcinoma than in cases of squamous cell carcinoma. Adenocarcinomas may be missed on cytology as they are often higher up in the endocervical canal.

There is growing consensus that cervical cancer screening needs to move away from cytology as a first-line screening test.

Several large clinical trials have clearly shown that:

- Screening for the presence of HR-HPV is more sensitive than cytology for the detection of women with \geq CIN3.
- Women identified as being infected with HR-HPV are less likely to progress to \geq CIN3 because they receive appropriate intervention.
- The absence of HR-HPV has an excellent negative predictive value compared to cytology, allowing for longer screening intervals of 3 – 5 years.

The ATHENA study was a multicentre, prospective study conducted to evaluate screening for cervical cancer by means of HR-HPV detection, and led to the following recommendations being made with regards to cervical cancer screening:

- HPV genotyping should be used to screen women **≥ 25 years old**.
- Infection with **HPV-16 or -18 should be specified**. This helps to triage women at highest risk, while reducing unnecessary interventions in women with transient infections due to other HR-HPV types.
- Women infected with HPV-16 or -18 should be referred for **colposcopy**.
- **Cytology** should be used to triage women infected with HR-HPV other than HPV-16 or -18. Women with abnormal cytology should be referred for colposcopy.

Sexually active women < 25 years old should be screened with cytology. This is to avoid over-investigation of transient HR-HPV infections that would be detected with HPV screening.

Self-sampling

Data from the private and public health sector indicates that the vast majority of South African women do not screen for cervical cancer. There are many reasons for this including cost, time and reluctance to have a cervical sample collected. Self-sampling has been shown to be reliable for HPV testing and has been rolled out in a number of countries as part of their cervical cancer screening programmes, including the Netherlands, Australia, and the UK. This allows a woman to collect her own sample, at a time and location convenient for her. Based on the HPV result obtained, she can then be directed to consult with a gynaecologist or general practitioner, or repeat screening after an appropriate timeframe. The Department of Health recognises self-collection as an acceptable and effective modality for increasing access to cervical cancer screening.

Self-sampling for HPV testing is not recommended:

- Under the age of 25 years;
- If the woman is pregnant;
- If the woman is symptomatic with abnormal vaginal bleeding, pain or discharge;
- If woman has had a total hysterectomy because of pre-cancerous or cancerous changes in the cervix

In these settings the women should have a healthcare worker collected sample.

A liquid-based cytology sample collected by a healthcare worker (HCW) is still considered the sample of choice as it can be used for both cytology and HPV testing. The interaction with the HCW also provides an opportunity for the woman to be examined for other conditions. However, with so many women not presenting to a HCW, self-collection will increase the number of women screening, and help prevent unnecessary cervical cancer cases and deaths.

References

1. Andrae B, Kemetli L, Sparén P et al. Screening-preventable cervical cancer risks: evidence from a nationwide audit in Sweden. J Natl Cancer Inst 2008, 100; 9:622-629
2. Castle PE, Stoler MH, Wright TC Jr, Sharma A, Wright TL, Behrens CM. Performance of carcinogenic human papillomavirus (HPV) testing and HPV16 or HPV18 genotyping for cervical cancer screening of women aged 25 years and older: a subanalysis of the ATHENA study [published online August 23, 2011] Lancet Oncol. doi:10.1016/S1470-204
3. de Sanjose S, Quint WG, Alemany L, Geraets DT, Klaustermeier JE, Lloveras B et al. Retrospective International Survey and HPV Time Trends Study Group. Human papillomavirus genotype attribution in invasive cervical cancer: a retrospective cross-sectional worldwide study. Lancet Oncol. 2010 Nov;11(11):1048-56
4. <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/advisorycommittees/committeesmeetingmaterials/medicaldevices/medicaldevicesadvisorycommittee/microbiologydevicespanel/ucm388565.pdf>
5. http://www.hpvcentre.net/statistics/reports/ZAF_FS.pdf. (Accessed 04 February 2022)
6. <https://www.health.gov.za/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/cervical-cancer-policy.pdf>. (Accessed 04 February 2022)
7. Hawkes D, Keung MHT, Huang Y, McDermott TL, Romano J, Saville M et al. Self-Collection for Cervical Screening Programs: From Research to Reality. Cancers 2020, 12: 1053
8. Ketelaars PJW, Bosgraaf RP, Siebers AG, Massuger LFAG, van der Linden JC, Wauters CAP et al. High-risk human papillomavirus detection in self-sampling compared to physician-taken smear in a responder population of the Dutch cervical screening: Results of the VERA study. Preventive Medicine 2017, 101: 96-101
9. Inturrisi F, Aitken CA, Melchers WJG, van den Brule AJC, Maliñ A, Hinrichs JWW et al. Clinical performance of high-risk HPV testing on self-samples versus clinician samples in routine primary HPV screening in the Netherlands: An observational study. The Lancet Regional Health – Europe 2021, 11 :100235
10. Leyden WA, Manos MM, Geiger AM, et al. Cervical cancer in women with comprehensive health care access: attributable factors in the screening process. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005, 97; 9:675-683
11. Tranberg M, Jensen JS, Bech BH, Blaakaer J, Svanholm H, Andersen B. Good concordance of HPV detection between cervico-vaginal self-samples and general practitioner-collected samples using the Cobas 4800 HPV DNA test. BMC Infectious Diseases 2018, 18: 348
12. Wright TC Jr, Stoler MH, Behrens CM, Apple R, Derrion T, Wright TL. The ATHENA human papillomavirus study: design, methods and baseline results. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2012, 206; 1:46.e1-46.e11

Johannesburg (011) 358 0800	Polokwane (015) 294 0400	Cape Town (021) 673 1700	Welkom (057) 355 9003
Pretoria (012) 483 0100	Rustenburg (014) 597 8500	Bloemfontein (051) 410 1700	
Durban (031) 308 6500	Nelspruit (013) 745 9000	Kimberley (053) 836 4460	